United States Patent and Trademark Office

TAN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TVA				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,269	07/09/2003	James Minto	AES 03-001	4877
	7590 12/27/2006		EXAM	INER
Patrick H. McC PD Holdings (U	= -:		DANG, I	IUNG Q
Suite 1700	uston Parkway East		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77			2612	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	12/27/2006	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,269	MINTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hung Q. Dang	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13-18,21-23,25-27,30-32,34</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,12,19,20,24,28,29 and 33</u> is/are ob 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. 4-35 is/are rejected. ijected to.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. St tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) ☐ Notice of Informa	Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/2003</u> .	6)	

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claimed "said pressure housing" does not have antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 23, 25-27, 30, 32, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cecconi et al. U.S. Patent 6,614,718.

Regarding claim 1, Cecconi et al. teaches a shuttle for synchronizing a reference clock with downhole clock positioned within a borehole (column 8, lines 15-28), said shuttle comprising:

- (a) a shuttle clock (column 8, lines 15-28; the stand-alone secondary casing spear is a shuttle clock); and
 - (b) a data port (unit 22) operationally connected to said shuttle clock; wherein

Art Unit: 2612

(c) said shuttle clock is synchronized with said reference clock via a first transmission link provided by said data port (column 8, lines 15-18);

- (d) said shuttle is conveyed along said borehole to said downhole clock (column 8, lines 15-28); and
- (e) said downhole clock is synchronized with said shuttle clock via a second transmission link provided by said data port thereby providing synchronization of said downhole clock with said reference clock (column 8, lines 15-28).

Even though, Cecconi et al. does not specifically indicate that said shuttle clock is conveyed along the borehole by pumped drilling fluid, however, Cecconi et al. mentions that the shuttle clock is conveyed down the borehole either by at the end of a slick-line metallic cable or by free fall through the inside of the drill string (column 4 lines 19-28 and column 8 lines 15-28). One skilled in the art would recognize that pumped drilling fluid has been conventionally used inside drilling string to convey drilling fluid to the downhole drilling bits; and the "free fall" of the shuttle clock disclosed by Cecconi et al. would logically involve the use of the drilling fluid to facilitate conveying said shuttle clock. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the use of pumped drilling fluid to convey the shuttle clock disclosed by Cecconi et al., as explained above.

Regarding claims 2, 10, 21 and 30, examiner takes official notice that short range RF transmitter/receiver/antenna have been conventionally used in wireless borehole communication systems. Therefore, by conventionality, it would have been

Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

obvious to one skilled in the art to provide RF transmitter/receiver/antenna to the data port of the shuttle clock disclosed by Cecconi et al. to wirelessly transmit/receive data.

Regarding claims 5, 13, 25 and 34, the stand-alone casing spear disclosed by Cecconi et al. is indeed a pressure housing in which said 'shuttle clock' and said data port are incorporated (the casing spear disclosed by Cecconi et al. is thermally insulated and therefore somewhat stabilize the pressure inside said spear); and since Cecconi et al. does not indicate that said housing is disposable, therefore, it is logically deduced that said housing is retained downhole after said downhole clock is synchronized with said shuttle clock thereby allowing said shuttle to be subsequently retrieved.

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as the rejection of claim 1.

Claims 17 and 26 are rejected for the same reasons as the rejection of claim 1.

Cecconi et al. also teaches the outputs from the reference clock and from the downhole clock and from at least one sensor are combined to determine geophysical parameter (column 4, lines 52-65; the synchronization of underground and above ground measurements are combined to determine geophysical parameter).

Regarding claims 18 and 27, the 'clock shuttle' disclosed by Cecconi et al. is indeed launched at time interval to maintain the reference clock and shuttle clock synchronization within a predetermined limit (column 8, lines 15-28; shows a time interval of 30 minutes, which is the time between the secondary casing spear is synchronized with the surface clock and the time the secondary casing spear is connected to the main casing spear)

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 6, the downhole clock disclosed by Cecconi et al. is also incorporated within a seismic-while-drilling system (column 8, lines 10-14 suggests the disadvantage of having a complete stand-still of drilling operations so that unit 19 can be brought back to the surface; and column 8 lines 15-28 suggests the use of a stand-alone secondary 'shuttle clock' unit so that a seismic-while-drilling system can be achieved).

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as the rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claims 23 and 32, Cecconi et al. also teaches a seismic sensor (column 3, lines 40-47).

Regarding claim 35, the predetermined limit of the synchronization disclosed by Cecconi et al. is "perfect synchronization", which is clearly less than millisecond.

4. Claims 3, 11, 22 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cecconi et al. U.S. Patent 6,614,718 in view of Cameron et al. U.S. Pub 2003/0211768.

Regarding claim 3, Cecconi et al. teaches the shuttle of claim 1, except wherein the data port comprises a wet connector.

Cameron et al. teaches a wet connector arrangement so that electrical connection for use in a downhole wet environment can be achieved (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a wet connector to the data port of the shuttle clock

Application/Control Number: 10/618,269 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

disclosed by Cecconi et al., as evidenced by Cameron et al., so that electrical connection can be safely made in a wet downhole environment.

Claims 11, 22 and 31 are rejected for the same reasons as the rejection of claim 1.

5. Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cecconi et al. U.S. Patent 6,614,718 in view of Stoller et al. U.S. Pub 2002/0153481.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 15 and 16, as disclosed by Stoller et al., nuclear spectroscopy and pulsed neutron systems have been commonly used in downhole systems to obtain qualitative and quantitative information related to subsurface fluid movement (page 1, paragraph [0012]) and density/porosity of subsurface environment (page 1, paragraph [0011]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the downhole clock disclosed by Cecconi et al. within a nuclear spectroscopy or a pulsed neutron logging-while-drilling system, as evidenced by Stoller et al., so that the downhole measured data can be correctly synchronized with a surface reference clock.

Art Unit: 2612

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4, 12, 19-20, 24, 28-29 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 4, 12, 24 and 33, the prior arts of record fail to teach or disclose a clock shuttle as claimed in claim 1, which further comprising a pressure housing in which said shuttle clock and said data port arc incorporated, wherein said pressure housing is deformed thereby allowing disposal of said shuttle within said borehole after said downhole clock is synchronized with said shuttle clock via said second transmission link.

Regarding claims 19 and 28, the prior arts of record fail to teach or disclose the system of claims 17 and 26, respectively, which further comprises a plurality of said shuttles wherein each shuttle of said plurality of shuttles is launched sequentially at time intervals required to maintain said reference clock and shuttle clock synchronization within a predetermined limit.

Regarding claim 20, the prior arts of record fail to teach or disclose the system of claim 18, which further comprises a telemetry system linking the borehole assembly to the surface equipment, wherein durations of said time interval is determined using information telemetered from said borehole assembly to said surface equipment via said telemetry system.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/618,269

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Q. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3069. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hung Q Dang 12/12/2006 H.D.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

A THANKY ARE

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet of

Complete if Known		
Application Number	10/618,269	
Filing Date	July 9, 2003	
First Named Inventor	Minto, James	
Art Unit		
Examiner Name		
Attorney Docket Number	AES 03-001	

			U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	
		Document Number Number - Kind Code ¹ (if known	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
冊		υs-5,555,220	09-10-1996	Minto, James	r squies Appear
HD		us-5,585,556	12-17-1996	Petersen, et al.	
н	<u>) </u>	us-5,842,149	11-24-1998	Harrell et al.	
HD		us-6,023,444	02-08-2000	Naville, et al.	
HD		us-6,308,137B1	10-23-2001	Underhill et al.	
Н	ַ D	us-6,382,332B1	05-07-2002	Eaton, Michael	
HD		₩8002/0060952A1	05-23-2002	Cecconi et al.	
		US-			
		US			
		US-			
		US-			

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document Country Code 3 - Number - Kind Code (If known)	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	76
HD		EP0716319A2	06-12-1996	Petersen & Heggerne		
HD		EP1002934A2	05-24-2000	Eaton, Michael		
н	<u> </u>	WO98/17894	04-30-1998	MacDonald et al.		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-

4	_				
ı	Examiner I	/Hung Dang/	(12/08/2006)	۱	10/00/000
1		/ uning Daing/	(12/00/2000)	Date	12/08/2006
Н	Signature	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Coopidated	,,
1				Considered	

Engish language Translation is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-latter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 18 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/618,269 Examiner Hung Q. Dang Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination MINTO ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,614,718	09-2003	Cecconi et al.	367/86
*	В	US-2003/0211768	11-2003	Cameron et al.	439/191
*	С	US-2002/0153481	10-2002	Stoller et al.	250/266
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	Κ	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν					
	0	,				
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	V	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

RECEIVED JAN 1 2 2007

USPTOMAIL CENTER